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Living Organ Donation: Values & Principles

- Justice
- Transparency
- Autonomy
- Beneficence
- Non-Maleficence
- Trust
- Partiality
- Altruism

Autonomy vs. Beneficence

• We do NOT agree to all patient requests

• First do No Harm

• Body Integrity Identity disorders:
  – Wish to be disabled
  – Xenomelia: feeling that a limb does not belong
Paternalism

- Assumes the professional knows best course of action for the patient
- Based on the values & clinical judgment of the professional
- May be counter to the values of the patient
- BUT may also reflect beneficence, non-maleficence
Healthcare Professionals’ Duty to Care

- Professional colleges: Codes of Ethics
- No abandonment of the patient
- Refusal to provide care should be explained to the patient
- Referral for 2nd opinion
CMA Code of Ethics

Fundamental Responsibilities:

1. **Consider first the well-being of the patient.**
2. Practise the profession of medicine in a manner that treats the patient with dignity and as a person worthy of respect.
3. **Provide for appropriate care** for your patient, even when cure is no longer possible, including physical comfort and spiritual and psychosocial support.

5. Practise the art and science of medicine competently, with integrity and without impairment.

6. Engage in lifelong learning to maintain and improve your professional knowledge, skills and attitudes.
College of RNs of Nova Scotia

Code of Ethics includes:

• Providing safe, compassionate, competent and ethical care
• Promoting health and wellbeing
• Promoting and respecting informed decision-making
• Promoting Justice
  – Nurses promote justice by safeguarding human rights, equity and fairness and by promoting the public good
Autonomy of the Healthcare Team

• Health Care Professionals are Moral Agents
• Bring their own ethical standards to the transplantation process (personal, cultural, professional)
• Need to feel they are practising within ethical norms
• OR they can experience burnout & moral distress
Individuals and Society

- Moral Hazard
- Separation of decision-making from responsibility for bad consequences
- Healthcare system: will provide care post donation
- Consequences for all others in that community
- Resources need to be distributed fairly & responsibly
1954: Kidney Transplant from a Living Donor to twin

- Dr. Joseph Murray, Boston, December 1954
  
  “the first time in the history of medicine a procedure is being adopted in which a perfectly healthy person is injured permanently in order to improve the well-being (not of himself but) of another”


- Warnings in using one person for the benefit of another: means to an end
Case

• Mr. B, 57, diabetes, led to ESRD, needs a kidney

• Daughter, Mary, 35, mother of 2
  – gestational diabetes x 2 pregnancies

• Mary wants to give her father a kidney

• Glucose tolerance test: positive
Ethical Issues

- Concerns with fairness or justice
- Conflicting obligations or responsibilities
- Uncertainty re what to do or why to do it
- Concerns that rights are violated or persons not respected
- Conflicting values, beliefs, goals or difficult alternatives

www.cntrp.ca
Ethical Dilemma

• Most ethical course of action is unclear

• Strong moral reason to support each of several positions

• Decision must be made based on the most right or least wrong choice of action.
Living Donation: Risks & Benefits

**Risks:**
- medical
- psychological
- stress for family
- stress of non-donation
- coercion / manipulation
- commercialization

**Benefits:**
- helps recipient
- reduces waiting list
- donor self esteem
- improved relationships
- tangible benefits
- helps society
Positives of Non-Donation

• Protects the non-donor’s health
• May protect the intended recipient from harms of bad outcome for the donor
• Maintains trust in the transplant system
• Less moral distress for HCPs
• Optimizes health overall
Donors and Recipients

• Relationships involve self interest

• Donors can help the recipient in many ways

• Non donation does not mean lack of support or caring

• Healthcare teams can manage donor expectations throughout the evaluation
• What does outcome entail?
  – Length of time the organ lasts in the recipient
  – Long term health of the donor
  – Maximum satisfaction for the recipient & donor
  – Quality of Life of the recipient & donor
Principles: Beneficence & Non-Maleficence

• Total benefit must outweigh harm
• Donor benefit essential
• Beneficence to donor:
  • protect donor health / interests
  • support best decision for the donor
  • provide necessary information
  • maintain confidentiality
  • follow up care
Trust

• Organ donation depends on Public Trust in the:
  – organ donation system
  – healthcare system
  – healthcare professionals

• We must aim to maximize overall benefit

• Not achieved if the donor’s health is compromised
"Yes, do that right away."

"Do what?"

Can I ignore e-mail from people who don't include my original message in their reply? Yes, and you can hate them, too.

90% of happiness is picking the right ethicist.
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